Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/630,723	BARTLE, JOSEPH
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alison K. Pickard	3673
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Alison K. Pickard</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Joseph McGlynn</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 November 2005	Time:	•
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 14		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Munikeliaid		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	'Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – it appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner called to suggest changes to make the claims allowable over the prior art of record. The changes related to further defining that the securing means (i.e. the lands and serpentine passageways) fix the seal element to rotate with the shaft and form a labyrinth seal to prevent leakage. The suggestion was approved and entered via examiner's amendment..